

Health Protection Agency Ministry of Health, Maldives

Technical Support: International Agency for Prevention of Blindness (IAPB)

Financial Support: Lions Club International Foundation (LCIF) Summary of Report Rapid Assessment of Avoidable Blindness

Maldives - 2016





SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The Rapid Assessment of Avoidable Blindness (RAAB) survey in Maldives is the first ever population based blindness survey in the country. The survey sampling frame included population aged 50 years and older across all the 20 administrative atolls of Maldives. The RAAB is designed to look at the risk group of population age 50 and over, since 80 to 90 % of blindness is known to occur in this age group.

Methodology:

A total of 3,100 study participants aged 50 years and older were enrolled in 62 clusters selected for the study. This was based on the cluster sampling procedure, probability proportionate to size, covering all 20 atolls of the country. Two survey teams, each lead by an ophthalmologist, were mobilised for field work ocular examination and data collection. The data collection was preceded by four days of training and a pilot study. The training was carried out by an International Centre for Eye Health (ICEH)-Certified RAAB trainer and covered all survey team members. The clinical examination team visited study participants in every selected study cluster. This meant door-to-door visits for their enrolment, visual acuity measurement and clinical eye examination by the teams.

Result:

Overall response to this survey was 97.4% for males 95.9% and for females 98.5%. The relatively lesser response in male population could be attributed to their nature of work and travel to other resort islands.

Blindness and Visual Impairment:

The age and gender adjusted prevalence rate of blindness in Maldives was 2.0% (95% CI1.5 – 2.6,) based on the WHO classification of blindness (Presenting visual acuity, PVA, <3/60). The prevalence rate of blindness in females was higher at 2.3% (95% CI, 1.6 – 3.0) compared to males at 1.8% (95% CI 1.0 – 2.7). The overall severe visual impairment (PVA <6/60 – 3/60) was 1.9% (95% CI, 1.4 – 2.4) and visual impairment (PVA<6/18 – 6/60) was 11.4%, (95% CI 10.0 – 12.8). (Figure 1 and 2).



Fig. 1 Prevalence of blindness





Fig 2. Prevalence of Visual impairment and severe visual impairment

Cause of Blindness and Visual impairment:

The leading cause of blindness was cataract (51.4%), followed by posterior segment anomalies (27.8%). The leading cause of severe visual impairment was cataract (64.6%).

The leading cause of moderate visual impairment was refractive error (50.9%) and cataract was the second leading cause (36.3%). Cataract was the second Corneal scar other than trachoma that was responsible for 5.6% of bilateral blindness in the country. (Figure 3)



Figure 3. Causes of Blindness (Presenting Vision < 3/60 in better eye)



Cataract Surgical Coverage:

The in-person cataract surgical coverage at visual acuity cut off <3/60 was 93.5% and at visual cut off <6/18 was 69.1%. Tihs is comparable to many of high income countries. Per eye cataract surgical coverage at visual acuity cut off <3/60 was 86.0% and at visual acuity cut off <6/18 was 61.5%. (Figures 4 and 5)



Figure 4



Figure 5



Visual Outcome of Cataract Surgery:

The WHO definition for visual outcome was used.

WHO definition for Visual Outcome

Good: 6/18 or better

Borderline: Visual acuity <6/18 to 6/60

Poor: Visual acuity <6/60

Based on the above definition, the overall visual outcome of cataract surgery which was 67.9% was good, 17.3% borderline and 14.8% poor based on the presenting visual acuity, and 76.6% good, 10% borderline and 13.4 % poor based on the best corrected visual acuity. In people with intraocular lens (IOL), good visual outcome was 68.9 % and 78.0% based on presenting and best corrected visual acuity. The eyes operated in recent years have better outcomes (75.9%) compared to those operated more than 7 years ago (55.7%). The survey also revealed the fact that almost half (48.1%) of the cataract surgeries were done outside the country, with over one-third (37.8%) in private hospitals and only 10.8% of cataract surgery performed in a government institution.



Figure 6



Visual outcome of Cataract Surgery: Good outcome 67.9%, Borderline 17.3% and Poor outcome 14.8% Among the poor outcomes of cataract surgery, ocular co-morbidity posterior segment problems: macular degeneration, scar etc. was the main cause at 81%, followed by 12% attributable to surgical complications corneal oedema, decompensation, irregular pupil etc.



Figure 7

Barriers of cataract surgery:

One third (33.3%) of people having visual acuity <6/60 due to cataract in either eye and not operated stated that the treatment was denied by provider. This could mean they were advised to wait for surgery. Another third (29.7%) "did not feel" then reduction in vision since the other eyewas good". The other reasons were fear for surgery (12.3%) and 'lack of accessibility' and/or'no accompanying person' (17.3%.)



Figure 8



Survey Team:

Principal Investigator:	Mr. Ubeydulla Thoufeeq, Health Protection Agency, Ministry of Health, Maldives.
RAAB Trainer	Mr Yuddha Sapkota, International Agency for Prevention of Blindness, SEA - Region
Study Ophthalmologists	Dr Maharshai Maitra, Netra Niramaya Niketan, Purba Medinipur, West Bengal, India.
	Dr Lapam Panda, L V Prasad Eye Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India
Field Coordinators	Ms Fathimath Shabana, Health Protection Agency, Ministry of Health, Maldives.
	Mr Hamid Shyam, Health Protection Agency, Ministry of Health, Maldives.
Enumerators	Ms Hawwa Shama, Health Protection Agency, Ministry of Health, Maldives.
	Ms Rafa Akram, contract staff.



L V Prasad Eye Institute Kallam Anji Reddy Campus L V Prasad Marg, Banjara Hills Hyderabad 500034, India Tel: +91 040 3061 2345 Website: www.iapb.org